

## **Original Research Article**



# The emitted dose of drug from a valved holding chamber using five pressurized metered dose inhalers

Federica Di Berardino<sup>1\*</sup>, Antonio Cesarani MD<sup>1</sup>, Agostina Moles<sup>2</sup>, Oreste V. Brenna<sup>2</sup>

#### \*Corresponding author:

#### Federica Di Berardino

<sup>1</sup>Dipartimento di Scienze Chirurgiche Specialistiche, Università degli Studi di Milano. Audiology Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy. <sup>2</sup> Department of Food Science and Microbiology, University of Milan, Italy.

#### Abstract

Background. The dose available at the mouth from a pressurised metered-dose inhaler (pMDI) cannot stay the same if it is used with a valved holding chamber (VHC). A different aerosol drug delivery system is created when the pMDI is used with the VHC and therefore the dose delivered to the patients is no longer that released from the pMDI alone, but the one emitted by the new system. This study aims to verify the emitted dose of five pMDI drugs when used with a VHC.

Methods. The emitted dose was expressed as the amount of drug within the respirable fraction available at the end of the VHC, i.e. the drug output (measured by high performance liquid chromatography) multiplied by the percentage of FDF determined using a laser diffraction analyser. Results. the emitted doses were drastically reduced in comparison with the nominal doses (Beclomethasone from 250 to 90.5  $\mu$ g, Budesonide from 200 to 100  $\mu$ g, Ciclesonide from 160 to 102  $\mu$ g Fluticasone from 250 to 116  $\mu$ g, Salbutamol from 100 to 54  $\mu$ g).

Conclusions. When pMDIs are employed with a VHC, the emitted dose drastically changes; it is more or less halved. In order to facilitate prescription by the physician, both the nominal and the emitted doses should be reported in the VHC package.

Keywords: emitted dose, FPF, FPD, nominal dose, pMDI, VHC.

## Introduction

Drugs for the treatment of asthma and asthma-like symptoms are frequently delivered from a pressurized metered dose inhaler (pMDI) used alone or with a spacer device. It has been reported that the pMDI effect is spacer-device dependent. [1] A valved holding chamber (VHC) decreases ballistic drug deposition in the oropharynx reducing the total body dose by 75%, improves the lower respiratory tract drug delivery efficiency of small aerosol particles by 30-50%, increases the therapeutic ratio, and facilitates patient and task specific aerosol delivery,[2]

Aerosol therapy is a complex process dependent on aerosol drug delivery system (ADDS) performance and patients' features. In order to avoid errors, these variables should be studied separately. [3] In the case of pMDIs, ADDS performance can be expressed as the amount of drug contained in an actuation (nominal dose). It is known that a different ADDS is created when the pMDI is used with a VHC; the dose available at the mouth is no longer that released from the pMDI alone, but the one emitted by the new system. In this case, the delivered dose is the fine particle dose (FPD), an objective parameter by which to quantify the amount of drug within

the respirable range available at the end of the pMDI-VHC system [4] and potentially capable of reaching the lower airways. This mechanical method has been suggested in order to standardize first-step aerosol therapy. [5] Many studies have evaluated the effect of different VHCs for the delivery of single drugs using sampling mechanical methods reflecting different patient techniques. [6-9] In fact, the emitted dose is calculated using mechanical filters that change the resistance of airflow rather then respecting the breathing pattern of the patients. Furthermore, the emitted doses of different drugs at the end of the pMDI-VHC is never reported in the "Summaries of Product characteristics" and "Patient information Leaflets" of any VHC.

The aim of this study was to verify this difference in the emitted dose by comparing the five most frequently used pMDI drugs when delivered without and with a VHC.

## Materials and methods

The five pMDI drugs currently available on the market, namely Beclomethasone, Budesonide, Ciclesonide, Fluticasone and Salbutamol, were analyzed when used with a VHC (L'Espace, Air Liquide, Bovezzo Bs, Italy). This VHC with mouthpiece is declared

universally compatible with the pMDIs. It has a polycarbonate chamber, and silicone valves, masks and MDI inlet. The plastic used has very low electrostatic properties. The chamber volume is 220 cc and its dimensions are 6x6x15cm.

Puff concentration, excipients and the same drug available in solution form used for high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) reference are reported in Table I. All pMDIs employed hydrofluoroalkane as the propellent and were therefore chlorofluorocarbon free.

Fine particle fraction (FPF): a laser diffraction analyzer (particle size distribution analyzer model 3603 produced by TSI and validated by Air Liquide Medical Systems compared to cascade impactor as requested by UNI EN 13544-1:2009) was used to determine the aerosol particle-size distribution, [10] i.e. the fraction of aerosol capable of entering and remaining in the lung was calculated. The particle-size distribution was used to calculate the respirable fraction as the fraction of the volume of aerosol contained in particles of 1 - 4.6 mm in diameter. [11]

Subjects. Five patients (4 males, 1 female, mean age  $50.4 \pm 11.7$  years); with normal spirometric values (exclusion criteria: previous treatment with selected pMDI drugs), were invited to take their treatment in our laboratory three times consecutively. The concentration of drug residual assay in the VHC was determined immediately after treatment in duplicate by HPLC.

Sample collection. The patient was given the selected pMDI attached to the VHC and told to press the device and to inhale three times, consecutively. The chamber was then thoroughly washed with the elution mixture and brought to 20 mL.

HPLC analysis. The chromatography equipment consisted of a 2996 diode array detector and a 600 E Multisolvent Delivery system (Waters, Milford Massachusetts, USA) equipped with a 20  $\mu$ L loop. The chromatographic system was controlled by the Empower Pro software (Waters). The column was a Geminy-NX, 4,6 x 250 mm, with 5  $\mu$ m pore size and 110 Å particle size (Phenomenex, Torrance, USA) protected by a guard cartridge from the same package. Four drugs were assessed using a 10 min isocratic elution, with different percentages of methanol in water, and different flow rates, except that in the case of Salbutamol, where a gradient elution was applied, starting with a 50% of A and B, mantaining it for 4 min, then linearly increasing solvent B to 100% during the following 4 min.

A calibration curve was obtained for different amounts of commercial drugs in solution depending on the reported values of concentration, which were taken to be exact; in the case of Ciclesonide, no commercial solution was at hand, so a solution was prepared by puffing directly into a suitable amount of solvent. All samples were filtered through Waters HA 0.45 mm filters before injection.

#### **Results**

The elution conditions used in the HPLC analysis were derived in part from the paper of Steckel and Möler. [12] Owing to the fact that only one drug at a time had to be measured, the amount of each compound was easily determined using the same column, using different eluent composition and flow rate at the wavelength of maximum absorption, as reported in Table II.

Measured values were highly reproducible, obeying a linear law with a  $R^2$  always higher then 0.998 and with a good limit of quantification (LOQ), namely of 1.1, 0.9, 1.6, 0.54, and 1.3 mg/L for Beclomethasone, Budesonide, Ciclesonide, Fluticasone and Salbutamol, respectively.

Table III shows the FPD available at the end of the VHC; this represents the emitted dose according to the formula described by Malone [4] and summarizes the comparison of the emitted dose of the drugs delivered by pMDI with or without the VHC.

#### Discussion

pMDI and VHC should be considered a single ADDS, since the emitted dose is no longer that released from the pMDI alone, but the one emitted by the new system.

Our data confirmed that, when pMDIs are employed with a VHC, the emitted dose changes; [13-17] it is more or less halved (36-74%). This shows that VHC characteristics interfere drastically with pMDI drug delivery, as already reported. [18] On the basis of this evidence, many patients probably do not use VHC-pMDI drugs properly and do not receive the optimal therapeutic dose. [19] EMA guidelines recommend that the development of a pMDI should always include testing of at least one specific spacer for use with a particular pMDI. [20] In fact, some pMDIs are licensed with a specific spacer. We agree that if a pMDI has been designed for use with a specific spacer it should always be used with this named spacing device. However, in this case both should be present in the same package to avoid misuse and this must also be reported in the product warnings: "these instructions are not necessarily valid when this pMDI is used with other spacers". As an alternative, manufacturers could verify the compatibility between pMDI drugs and spacer use, determine the emitted doses of different drugs and report these data in the "Summaries of Product characteristics" and "Patient information Leaflets" of all VHC for all pMDIs in order to facilitate prescription by the physician.

As far as we know, ours is the first report to propose a simple method of testing the interference of a VHC on the emitted dose of all of the five most frequently used drugs respecting the breathing pattern of the patients rather than using mechanical filters that change the resistance of airflow. In this paper, the percentage of output in the respirable range was measured by a laser particle analyzer in continuous nebulization to the same point of abrupt drop in output. The calculation of the emitted dose proposed in this paper is not intended to be a simulated therapeutical dose as this would include patient variability, but it is nonetheless adequate to provide the information necessary for the Product Leaflet. In 2007,



Table I. Pressurized metered dose inhaler drugs currently available on the market (nominal dose in µg/puff, without spacer), excipients and reference solutions.

Drug	pMDI µg/puff	Excipients	Reference solution (mg/mL)
Beclomethasone	250	ethanol, glicerol	0.4
Budesonide	200	ethanol, oleic acid	0.25
Ciclesonide	160	ethanol	not available
Fluticasone	250	-	0.25
Salbutamol	100	ethanol, oleic acid	5

Table II. HPLC parameters applied to assay the amount of each drug.

Drug	Eluent		Method	V	λ max
	A	В	isocratic (A:B)	(mL/min)	(nm)
Beclomethasone	water	acetonitrile	40:60	1.2	239
Budesonide	water	methanol	20:80	1.5	244
Ciclesonide	water	acetonitrile	10:90	1	241.7
Fluticasone	water	acetonitrile	40:60	1.2	235.8
Salbutamol	water	methanol	Gradient	1	225

Table III. The Fine Particle Dose (FPD) available at the end of the spacer and the emitted dose of the drugs delivered by pMDI with or without the VHC (nominally released dose per actuation)

					- /	
Drug	FPD ± SD (%)	Amount of drug ±	FPD	Emitted dose with	Emitted dose without	%
		SD (µg)		VHC	VHC	
Beclomethasone	77 ± 6.5	117.5 ± 12.5	90.5	90.5	250	36.2
Budesonide	91 ± 8.3	110 ± 20	100	100	200	50.0
Ciclesonide	77 ± 4.7	133 ± 10	102	102	160	63.7
lutionon	01 . 77	107 1 00	116	110	050	16 1
luticasone	$91 \pm 1.1$	$121 \pm 32$	110	110	200	40.4
Salbutamol	83 ± 8.1	65 ± 11	54	54	100	54.0

Abbreviation: SD = standard deviation

Mitchell et al. has already evidenced some improvements that might be added by manufacturers of VHC devices in order to avoid an inconsistent medication delivery, [21] however this lack we observed was not reported.

A limit of our study is that, for technical reasons, we were only able to study one VHC. It would be interesting to evaluate the other commonly used spacers (such as AeroChamber, InspirEase and Volumatic) to see how much of an effect each spacer has on the drug output from the different pMDI-VHC systems.

#### Conclusion

When pMDIs are employed with a VHC, the emitted dose is more or less halved. Multiple measurements from each pMDI-VHC system are encouraged with the reported method in order to





determine how uniform and reliable the delivery of drugs is from each pMDI-VHC system.

## Acknoweldgements

The authors report no financial interest in the subject matter, no actual/potential conflict of interest. All the authors transfer all copyright ownership, including electronic, of this manuscript in the

## References

- Dubus JC, Dolovich M. Emitted doses of salbutamol pressurized metered-dose inhaler from five different plastic spacer devices. Fundamental & Clinical Pharmacology. 2000; 14: 219-224.
- [2]. Newhouse MT. Asthma therapy with aerosols: are nebulizers obsolete? A continuing controversy. J Pediatr. 1999; 135: 5-8.
- [3]. Di Berardino L, Varricchio A, Teti L. Two basic parameters to standardize the delivery of aerosolized drugs. Respir Drug Deliv. 2004; IX: 545-48.
- [4]. Malone RA, Hollie MC, Glynn-Barnhart A, Nelson HS. Optimal duration of nebulized albuterol therapy. Chest. 1993 Oct;104(4):1114-8.
- [5]. Loffert DT, Ikle D, Nelson HS. A comparison of commercial jet nebulizers. Chest.1994; 106: 1788-92.
- [6]. Crim C, Holmes M, Lee B, Cavanaugh Lincourt R, W.Evaluation of particle size distribution of albuterol sulfate hydrofluoroalkane administered via metered-dose inhaler with and without valved holding chambers.Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2005 Jan;94(1):80-5.
- [7]. Kelly HW, Ahrens RC, Holmes M, Stevens AL, Vandermeer AK, Garris T, Reisner C Evaluation of particle size distribution of salmeterol administered via metered-dose inhaler with and without valved

holding chambers. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2001 Dec;87(6):482-7.

- [8]. Chambers F, Ludzik A. In vitro drug delivery performance of a new budesonide/formoterol pressurized metered-dose inhaler. J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv. 2009 Jun;22(2):113-20.
- [9]. Schultz A, Le Souëf TJ, Looi K, Zhang G, Le Souëf PN, Devadason SG. Validation of methodology for recording breathing and simulating drug delivery through spacers and valved holding chambers. J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv. 2010; 23: 311-22
- [10]. Newman SP, Clarke SW. Therapeutic aerosols 1--physical and practical considerations. Thorax.1983; 38: 881-6.
- [11]. Di Berardino L, Scarione SF. Mixing albuterol and corticosteroid is not additive. Allergy 1999; 54: 1004-13.
- [12]. Steckel H, Muller BW. In vitro evaluation of dry powder inhalers I: drug deposition of commonly used devices. Int J Pharm. 1997; 154:19-29.
- [13]. Singh D, Collarini S, Poli G, Acerbi D, Amadasi A, Rusca A. Effect of AeroChamber Plus(TM) on the lung and systemic bioavailability of beclometasone dipropionate/formoterol pMDI. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2011 May 25. doi: 10.1111/j 1365-2125.2011.04024.x.
- [14]. Nair A, McKinlay L, Williamson P, Short P, Burns P, Lipworth BJ.

event the work is published. The authors warrant that the article is original, does not infringe upon any copyright or other proprietary right of any third party, is not under consideration by another journal, and has not been previously published. The authors confirm that they have reviewed and approved this final version of the manuscript.

Word counts: text 1445, abstract 213. Number of tables: 3.

Comparative lung bioavailability of fluticasone/salmeterol via a breathactuated spacer and conventional plastic spacers. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2011 Apr;67(4):355-63. Epub 2011 Jan 15.

- [15]. Asmus MJ, Liang J, Coowanitwong I, Hochhaus G. In vitro performance characteristics of valved holding chamber and spacer devices with a fluticasone metered-dose inhaler. Pharmacotherapy. 2004 Feb;24(2):159-66.
- [16]. Salyer JW, DiBlasi RM, Crotwell DN, Cowan CA, Carter ER.The conversion to metered-dose inhaler with valved holding chamber to administer inhaled albuterol: a pediatric hospital experience. Respir Care. 2008 Mar;53(3):338-45.
- [17]. Janssens HM, Krijgsman A, Verbraak TF, Hop WC, de Jongste JC, Tiddens HA. Determining factors of aerosol deposition for four pMDIspacer combinations in an infant upper airway model. J Aerosol Med. 2004 Spring;17(1):51-61.
- [18]. Louca E, Leung K, Coates AL, Mitchell JP, Nagel MW.Comparison of three valved holding chambers for the delivery of fluticasonepropionate-HFA to an infant face model.J Aerosol Med. 2006;19:160-7.
- [19]. Laube BL, Janssens HM, de Jongh FH, Devadason SG, Dhand R, Diot P, Everard ML, Horvath I, Navalesi P, Voshaar T, Chrystyn H; European Respiratory Society; International Society for Aerosols in Medicine.

PAGE | 339 |



What the pulmonary specialist should know about the new inhalation therapies. Eur Respir J. 2011 Jun;37(6):1308-31.

- [20]. EMEA/CHMP/QWP/49313/2005. London, 21 June 2006.
- [21]. Mitchell JP, Nagel MW. Valved holding chambers (VHCs) for use with pressurised metered-dose

inhalers (pMDIs): a review of causes of inconsistent medication delivery. Prim Care Respir J. 2007 Aug;16(4):207-14. Review.